IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1098 OF 2004

Cinematograph Exhibitors Association
of India and anr. ... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondents

Ms. H. Modi and Ms. Sonali Kunekar i/by H. Mehta
& Co. for Petitioner.

Mr. P.M. Palshikar, A.G.P. for Respondent No. 1.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
S.R. SATHE,JJ.
DATED : OCTOBER 19, 2004

P.C.

. Rule. By consent heard forthwith.

. Considering the order to be passed no reasons are required to be given. The learned A.G.P. was asked to take instructions whether the notification dated 25.11.2003 in view of the objections raised by the Petitioners would be withdrawn and fresh steps taken to issue notification as per law. The learned A.G.P. points out that they have taken instructions and the Government will withdraw the notification and take steps to issue fresh notification according to law. In the light of the above, the following order :

(1) Notification dated 25.11.2003 stands withdrawn.

(2) The Respondent NO. 1 to issue fresh

notification according to Law. The learned A.G.P. points out that they proposes to issue notification in respect of skilled, semi skilled and unskilled category of employees.

(3) On the notification being issued, it will be open to the petitioners to file their objections including to the categorisation of the employees into three categories. Respondent No. 1 to hear the objections according to law and thereafter issue final notification.

(4) The entire exercise to be completed within four months from today.

. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

. P.A. to issue authenticated copy of this order.

(F.I. REBELLO,J.)

(S.R. SATHE,J.)